arXiv:1101.5872v3 [math.AG] 9 Jul 2011

Some Positivstellensätze in real closed valued fields

Noa Lavi
Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Be'er Sheva 84105, ISRAEL
lano@cs.bgu.ac.il

July 12, 2011

The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of Hilbert's seventeenth problem in real closed valued fields. That is, for some definable set of the form $\{x \in K^n | \nu(\vec{p}(x)) \geq \nu(\vec{q}(x))\}$, we would like to give an algebraic characterization of the set of polynomials which get only non-negative values on it. Using model theoretic methods, we give a general characterization of the positive semi-definite polynomials for any definable set with a Ganzstellensatz, and we also give a representation of those polynomials in the sense of Hilbert 17th problem (that is, in terms of sums of squares) for definable sets from a certain kind.

1 Introduction

A "Nichtnegativstellensatz" in real algebraic geometry is a theorem characterizing algebraically those polynomials admitting only non-negative values on a given set. The original Nichtnegativstellensatz is the solution by Artin [1] to Hilbert's seventeenth problem: a polynomial taking only non-negative values can be written as a sum of squares of rational functions. Later on A.Robinson [11] generalized the theorem to any real closed field using model theory and Tarski's theorem [12] that the theory of real closed fields is complete and admits quantifier elimination in the language of ordered rings.

Equipping a real closed field with a valuation respecting the order suggests the problem of a relative Positivstellensatz for sets defined by valuations inequalities (as well as relative Ganzstellensatz for semi-algebraic sets [9]). As [9], this paper also investigates the connection between positivity/non-negativity and integrality (getting values in the valuation ring) in real closed valued fields. This time, from the direction that could be called "the other direction" of the main theorem in [9], which is characterizing positivity/non-negativity on sets defined by integrality terms.

In [3], M. Dickmann gives a representation of the positive semi-definite poly-

nomials on a real closed ring. Since a valuation ring of a real closed valued field is a real closed ring, then this representation would hold for polynomials with coefficients from the ring which get only non-negative values on the ring. That is, $p \in O_K[\vec{x}]$ is non-negative on O_K^n if and only if it is of the form $\sum \frac{1+m_{1_i}{q_{1_i}}^2}{1+m_{2_i}{q_{2_i}}^2}$ where $m_{1_i}, m_{2_i} \in \mathcal{M}, q_{1_i}, q_{2_i} \in O_K[\vec{x}]$. Clearly, if we do not give any restriction on the coefficients (that is, we just know that the polynomials is in $K[\vec{x}]$ where K is a real closed valued field) then that representation does not cover all the positive semi-definite polynomials (since any some of squares - including squares of elements outside of the ring - is non-negatove everywhere, and in particular on the valuation ring).
In the main theorem of this paper 5.6, we generalize the result from [3] by giving a representation in terms of sum of squares for any polynomials with coefficients from the field which is positive semi-definite on the closed balls, as well as on any other O_K-module definably isomorphic to O_K^n. That is, these polyomials are of the form $\frac{r}{1+mh}$ where r is sum of squares of multivariable rational functions, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and h is OVF-integral [6] on the set. We hope, in further work, to extend to a wider collection of definable sets. We give, as well, a characterization of those polynomials which are positive semi-definite on definable sets with a Ganzstellensatz (As in [9] and [6]).

2 Preliminaries

We begin by defining the class of fields which we shall work on in this paper.

Definition 2.1 *An* ordered valued field *(or OVF)* $\langle K, \nu, \leq \rangle$ *is a valued field with an order satisfying: for every* $x, y \in K$ *if* $0 < x \leq y$ *then* $\nu(y) \leq \nu(x)$.

An *OVF-valuation* will be a valuation ν on a field K such that there exist some ordering $\leq_K$ on K such that $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models OVF$.

Definition 2.2 *A* real closed valued field *(or RCVF) is an order valued field which is also real closed.*

G.Cherlin and M.Dickmann's proof of RCVF is the model companion of OVF [2] plays an analogue part to those played by Tarski's theorem [12] that RCF is model complete (and hence the model companion of the theory of formally real fields) in Robinson's theorem stated in the introduction. This means that if $\langle L, \tilde{\nu}, \leq_L \rangle$ is an OVF extension of a real closed valued field $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle$ then for every formula $\phi(\vec{x})$ with parameters from K, if there exists $v \in L^n$ such that $\mathcal{L} \models \phi(v)$ then there exists $b \in K^n$ such that $\mathcal{K} \models \phi(b)$.

2.1 Valuation and order theory lemmas

We bring here the preliminaries for the paper which are not stand facts in valuation and order theory. They tell us when we can construct a structure of RCVF(OVF) which fulfills conditions of positivity that we are interested in.

Lemma 2.3 *[9] Let $L = K(\vec{x})$ and let $\tilde{\nu}$ valuation on L extending ν (where $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$), $\leq_l$ an order on the residue field of L. Given $p_1, ..., p_l \in L$, let $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l \in \Gamma_L$ be their corresponding valuations. Assume that $\gamma_i/2\Gamma_L{}_{i=1}^{l}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a linear order $\leq_L$ extending $\leq_K$ and inducing $\leq_l$ such that $\langle L, \tilde{\nu}, \leq_L \rangle \models OVF$ and $p_i \geq_L 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Lemma 2.4 *[10] Let $\langle L, \nu \rangle$ a valued field. Then ν is an OVF-valuation over L if and only if $\frac{1}{1+r} \in O_L$ for every $r \in L$ which is a sum of squares.*

Corollary 2.5 *[10] Let $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models OVF$. A valuation $\tilde{\nu}$ on the field of multi-variable rational functions over K is an OVF-valuation if and only if for every r - sum of squares of rational functions - $\frac{1}{1+r} \in O_{\tilde{\nu}}$.*

From now on we shall denote $I_{ord} = \{\frac{1}{1+r} | r \in SOS\}$ where SOS is the set of sums of squares in $K(\vec{x})$.
The following was proved and first used by [5]. We shall need it here, for the connection between positivity and integrality.

Definition 2.6 *Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle$ be a valued field, L a field extension of K and $A \subseteq L$ an O_K-Algebra such that $A \cap K = O_K$. We define*

$$T = T(A) = \{1 + ma | a \in A, m \in \mathcal{M}_K\}.$$

We note that T is multiplicative, and therefore, we can define $A_T = \{\frac{a}{t} | a \in A, t \in T\}$, the localization of A at T.

Lemma 2.7 *[5] Let K be a valued field, L a field extension of K and A a sub-ring of L such that $A \cap K = O_K$. Then $\sqrt[int]{A_T}$ - the integral closure (roots of monic polynomials) of A_T - is the intersection of all valuation sub-rings O_L of L such that $A \subseteq O_L$ and $O_L \cap K = O_K$.*

3 Model theoretic and geometrical basic results

In this section we give some the ground definitions and results which shall be required to prove our main theorem.

3.1 Integrality definitions

In this section we give the definition of being integral relatively to a theory (in our case - the theory of ordered valued fields), rather then the naive definition - a function is integral at a point if it sends it to the valuation ring - which applies only when the function is defined. This definition first appeared in [6] as a general T-integrality, and a further treatment to OVF-integrality was given in [9]. The definition of valuation near a point gives a natural definition for a valuation near a type, which we use in order to prove our main theorem of the paper 5.6.

Definition 3.1 *[6] Let* $\langle K, \nu \rangle \models RCVF$, $b \in K^n$. *An OVF-valuation* $\tilde{\nu}$ *extending* ν *on* $K(\vec{x})$ *is said to be given by evaluation near* b *(for short - valuation near* b*) if for every* $f \in K(\vec{x})$ *such that* $f(b) = 0$ *and every* $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *we have that* $\tilde{\nu}(f) > \gamma$.

Definition 3.2 *[6] Let* $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$. *Given* $f \in K(\vec{x})$ *and* $b \in K^n$ *we say that* f *is OVF-integral at* b *if for every* ν_b *extending* ν *on* $K(\vec{x})$ *which is valuation near* b, $\nu_b(f) \geq 0$. *For* $S \subseteq K^n$ *we say that* f *is OVF-integral on* S *if* f *is OVF-integral at* b *for every* $b \in S$.

Lemma 3.3 *[6] Let* $f \in K(\vec{x})$ *and* $b \in K^n$ *(where* $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$*) such that* f *is defined at* b. *Then* f *is integral at* b *if and only if it is OVF-integral at* b.

Lemma 3.4 *[9] Let* $p \in K(\vec{x})$ *and* $b \in K^n$. *If* $p(b) > 0$ *then for every* ν_b, *valuation near* b *extending* ν, *and every* $\leq_{K(\vec{x})}$ *such that* $\langle K(\vec{x}), \nu_b, \leq_{K(\vec{x})} \rangle \models OVF$ *we have that* $p \geq_{K(\vec{x})} 0$.

Proof Assuming that $p <_{K(\vec{x})} 0$ we get $0 < p(b) \leq p(b) - p$. Since ν_b is a valuation near b and $(p(b) - p)(b) = 0$, by Definition 3.1 $\nu_b(p(b) - p) > \nu(p(b)) = \nu_b(p(b))$, contradicting the OVF axioms. ∎

We bring here also Proposition 5.7 from [9] which gives a more familiar meaning to OVF-integrality on sets of the type of out interest.

Proposition 3.5 *[9] Let* $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$. *Let*

$$S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}} = \{x \in K^n | \vec{p}(x) > 0, \nu(\vec{f}(x)) \geq 0\}$$

where $\vec{p} \in K[\vec{x}]$ *and* $\vec{f} \in K(\vec{x})$, *and let* $h \in K(\vec{x})$. *Then* h *is OVF-integral on* $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$ *if and only if* h *gets only integral values on* $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$.

We now give the new main definition of this paper, which shall allow us to make an algebraic geometrical argument.

Definition 3.6 *Let $t \in S_n(K)$ and let $\tilde{\nu}$ an extension of ν to $K(\vec{x})$. We say that ν is given by evaluation near t (in short - valuation near t) if it is near $b \in M^n$ where b realizes t and M is an elementary extension of K.*

3.2 Properties of $S_{\vec{f}}$

We give here some more geometric properties of sets of the form

$$S_{\vec{f}=<f_1,\dots,f_m>} = \{x \in K^n | \vec{f}(x) \in O_K\}$$

in a real closed valued field K. [13] investigates the topology further.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $S_{\vec{f}} \subseteq K^n$ as above and polynomial p. Then p is non-negative on $S_{\vec{f}}$ if and only if $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models p \geq 0$, whenever $\tilde{\nu}$ extends ν such that $\tilde{\nu}(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \vec{f}$.*

Proof Let $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models OVF$ and let $\tilde{K}(\vec{x})$ be a model of model completion extending $K(\vec{x})$. If $p \leq_{K(\vec{x})} 0$ then, according to model completeness of RCVF, there exists $b \in K^n$ such that $\nu(\vec{f}(b)) \geq 0 \wedge p(b) < 0$. Hence there exist $b \in S_{\vec{f}}$ such that $p(b) < 0$.
For the other direction, let $b \in S_{\vec{f}}$ such that $p(b) < 0$. According to Lemma 3.4 for every ν_b a valuation near b and an order $\leq_{K(\vec{x})}$ such that $\langle K(\vec{x}), \nu_b, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models OVF$ we have that $p <_{K(\vec{x})} 0$. ■

Remark Note that the above holds for any definable sets defined by inequalities.

Corollary 3.8 *If $p|_{S_{\vec{f}}} = 0$ then $p \equiv 0$.*

Proof Let $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models OVF$ be as above. Then $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models p \geq_{K(\vec{x})} 0$ and yet $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models p \leq_{K(\vec{x})} 0$. Hence $\langle K(\vec{x}), \tilde{\nu}, \leq_{K(\vec{x})}\rangle \models p \equiv_{K(\vec{x})} 0$. Moving to the model completion will give us that $p \equiv 0$. ■

Corollary 3.9 *Let $S \subseteq K^n$ if $S_{\vec{f}} \subseteq S$ for some $\vec{f}$ then $V_{zariski}(I(S)) = K^n$ (where $I(S)$ is the ideal of polynomials vanishing on S and $V_{zariski}(I(S))$ is the compatible Zariski-closed set.*

4 A general characterization

A question that arises, which could be considered as "the other direction" of the Ganzstellensatz for open set that was proved in [9], is about generalizing the original Nichtnegativstellensatz to sets defined by valuation inequalities. That is, to give a characterization to all the non-negative polynomials on a definable set of the form $S_{\vec{f}}$.

We begin by proving a positivity characterization for each set (might not be definable).

Theorem 4.1 *Let* $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$*, let* $S \subseteq K^n$ *and* $p \in K[\vec{x}]$*. Then,* p *is non-negative on* S *if and only if for every* $c \in K$ *we have that* $\frac{1}{1+c^2 p}$ *is* OVF*-Integral on* S*.*

Proof Assuming that p is non-negative over S, let $x \in S$. For every $c \in K$ we have that $1 + c^2 p(x) \geq 1$ hence for every ν_x extending ν to L near x we have that $\nu_x(\frac{1}{1+c^2 p}) \geq 0$.
For the other direction, assume by way of contradiction that there exists $b \in S$ such that $p(b) < 0$. K is an RCVF hence we can denote by c the square root of $-\frac{1}{p(b)}$. For every ν_b valuation near b extending ν to L, we have that $\nu_b(1 + c^2 p) > \gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. Hence $\nu_b(\frac{1}{1+c^2 p}) < 0$. Therefore $\frac{1}{1+c^2 p}$ is not OVF-integral on S. ∎

Applying Ganzstellensatz for $S = S_{\vec{f}}$ [6] we get the following characterization:

Corollary 4.2 *Let* $S_{\vec{f}}$ *be as above. From [6] we know that* $h \in K(\vec{x})$ *is* OVF*-integral on* $S_{\vec{f}}$ *if and only if* $h \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{ord} >_T}$*. Therefore,* p *is non-negative on* S *if and only if for every* $c \in K$ *we have that*

$$\frac{1}{1+c^2 p} \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{ord} >_T}$$

We recall from [9] the definition $I_{\vec{p}} = \{\frac{1}{1+f} \mid f \in Cone(\vec{p})\}$ where $Cone(\vec{p})$ is the pre-order generated by $\vec{p}$.
From the above and from the representation in [9] of integral definite functions on $S_{\vec{p}} = \{x \in K^n | \vec{p}(x) > 0\}$ (where the p-s are polynomials) we could retrieve in another way the known representation of a positive semi-definite polynomial on $S_{\vec{p}}$. We also get a characterization as in the corollary above for sets of the form $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$ as in [9] it has been prove that those sets also admit

a Ganzstellensatz representation as $S_{\vec{p}}$ (which gives the original Positivstellensatz) and $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$.

Corollary 4.3 *Let $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$ be as in [9]. From [9] we know that $h \in K(\vec{x})$ is OVF-integral on $S_{\vec{p},\vec{f}}$ if and only if $h \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{\vec{p}} >_T}$. Therefore, p is non-negative on S if and only if for every $c \in K$ we have that*

$$\frac{1}{1+c^2p} \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{\vec{p}} >_T}$$

Remark In [13], it is proved that when equipping a real closed field R with the canonical valuation, the notion of OVF-integrality of a rational function f at a point $b \in R^n$ is equivalent to f being finitary/bounded at b. Therefore, we may obtain a characterization of positive semi-definite polynomials on sets defined by boundedness condition in some real closed field.

5 Definable sets with a direct Nichtnegativstellensatz characterization

After demonstrating the general result above, we would like to find a criterion which will give an actual description of a non-negative polynomial in the spirit of Hilbert 17th problem, that is, a representation in terms of sun of squares. The following theorem helps to lead us in this direction.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $S \subseteq K^n$ a set definable by inequality terms. Then, p is non-negative over S if and only if for every $\tilde{\nu}$ OVF-valuation extending ν such that $\tilde{\nu}(f) \geq 0$ for every $f \in L = K(\vec{x})$ OVF-Integral on S we have that $\tilde{\nu}(p) \in 2\Gamma_L$ and there exist $r \in L$ which is a sum of squares such that $\tilde{\nu}(p-r) > \tilde{\nu}(p)$.*

Proof Let $\tilde{\nu}$ OVF-valuation extending ν to L. According to Lemma 2.3 if $\tilde{\nu}(p) \notin 2\Gamma_L$ there exists $\leq_L$ such that $p \leq_L 0$, and according to Lemma 3.7 this is a contradiction, hence $\tilde{\nu}(p) \in 2\Gamma_L$. Let $c \in L$ such that $\tilde{\nu}(p) = \tilde{\nu}(c^2)$. $res(\frac{p}{c^2})$ cannot be defined as negative in the order over the residue field l. Hence, there exist $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in l$ such that $res(\frac{p}{c^2}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2$. Let $a_i \in O_L^\times$ such that $res(a_i) = \alpha_i$, then there exists $\epsilon \in \mathcal{M}_L$ such that $\frac{p}{c^2} - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = \epsilon$. Hence $p - c^2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = c^2\epsilon$ and since $\tilde{\nu}(c^2\epsilon) = \tilde{\nu}(p) + \tilde{\nu}(\epsilon) > \tilde{\nu}(p)$ then $r = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2$ is a sum of squares as requested. ∎

We would have want to find $\tilde{\nu}$ an extension of ν such that for every $h \in L$ we would have that h is OVF-integral on $S_{\vec{f}}$ if and only if $\tilde{\nu}(h) \geq 0$. In that case, we could have a sum of squares expression in the terms of valuations. For this we use Definition 3.6. Like integral definite, a function will be called *infinitesimal-definite* on a set S if it sends S into $\mathcal{M}_K$. We quote here a result that was proved in the Phd thesis of L. Miller-Sims, which according to it - every infinitesimal-definite function - the valuation function it is bounded away from 0_Γ.

Proposition 5.2 *[8] For every $h \in K(\vec{x})$, h is infinitesimal definite on $S_{\vec{f}}$ if and only if $h = mg$ where $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and g is OVF-integral on $S_{\vec{f}}$.*

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose that there exists a type $t \in S_n(K)$ such that for every $q_1, q_2 \in K[\vec{x}]$ we have that $\phi_{q_1,q_2}(\vec{x}) = \nu(q_1(\vec{x})) \geq \nu(q_2(\vec{x})) \in t$ if and only if $\frac{q_1}{q_2}$ is OVF-integral on $S_{\vec{f}}$. Then for every $p \in K[\vec{x}]$ we have that p is positive semi-definite on $S_{\vec{f}}$ if and only if there exist $r \in K(\vec{x})$ a sum of squares, $m \in \mathcal{M}_K$ and $h \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{ord} >_T}$ such that $p = \frac{r}{1+mh}$.*

Proof Let ν_t valuation near the type t. According to 5.1, if p is non-negative on $S_{\vec{f}}$ there exists $r \in K(\vec{x})$ sum of squares such that $\nu_t(\frac{r-p}{p}) > 0$. Hence, since ν_t is near t, $\frac{r-p}{p}$ maps $S_{\vec{f}}$ to $\mathcal{M}_K$. Hence, according to 5.2 $\frac{r-p}{p} = mh$ where $m \in \mathcal{M}_K$ and $h \in \sqrt[int]{< \vec{f} \cup I_{ord} >_T}$. Hence, $p \in \frac{SOS}{T(\sqrt[int]{<\vec{f}\cup I_{ord}>_T})}$.

$\blacksquare$

Definable sets of the form $S_{\vec{f}}$ which admit such a characterization are closed balls (according to the valuation), as well as any other O_K-module of dimension n of K^n. If we restrict to coefficients from the valuation ring only, we may obtain from [3] the following characterization:

Theorem 5.4 *[3] Let $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$ and let $p \in O_K[\vec{x}]$. Then p is non-negative on O_K^n if and only if p is of the form $\sum \frac{1+m_{1_i}{q_{1_i}}^2}{1+m_{2_i}{q_{2_i}}^2}$ where $m_{1_i}, m_{2_i} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $q_{1_i}, q_{2_i} \in O_K[\vec{x}]$.*

Using Theorem 5.3 we may give a characterization for any O_K-modules of dimension n without limiting the range of the coefficients. First, we give a definition of such a type for O_K^n.

Theorem 5.5 *There exist $t \in S_n(K)$ such that for every $q_1, q_2 \in K[\vec{x}]$ we have that $\phi_{q_1,q_2}(\vec{x}) = \nu(q_1(\vec{x})) \geq \nu(q_2(\vec{x})) \in t$ if and only if $\frac{q_1}{q_2}$ is OVF-integral on $S_{\vec{x}}$.*

Proof Let s be the type generated by "$p(\vec{x}) \neq 0$" for every $p \in k[\vec{x}]$. Let t be (some complete type consistent with) the type generated by $q(\overrightarrow{res(x_i)}) + \vec{x} \in \gamma O_K^n$. For every $q_1, q_2 \in K[\vec{x}]$ we would like to prove that $\nu_{t'}(q_1) \geq \nu_{t'}(q_2)$ if and only if "$\nu(q_1(\vec{x})) \geq \nu(q_2(\vec{x}))$" $\in t'$. Let $q_1 = \sum_{\vec{j}} a_{\vec{j}} \vec{x}^{\vec{j}}, q_2 = \sum_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}} \vec{x}^{\vec{j}}$. If $\{\nu(a_{\vec{j}})\}$ has a unique minimal element then, since $\nu(x_i) = 0$ we have that $\nu(q_1(x)) = min\{\nu(a_{\vec{j}})\}$. Otherwise, let $\delta = min\{\nu(a_{\vec{j}})\}$ and let $q = \frac{q_1}{d}$ where $\nu(d) = \delta$. Then $\nu(a'_{\vec{j}}) \geq 0$ for all $\vec{j}$ and there exists $\vec{j_0}$ such that $\nu(a_{\vec{j_0}}) = 0$. If $\nu(q(x)) \neq 0$ then $res(q(res(x))) = 0$ while $res(q)$ is not identically 0, which contradicts the fact that $res(\vec{x})$ is transcendental in k^n. Hence $\nu(q(x)) = 0$, hence $\nu(q_1(x)) = \delta$. From the exact same reason, if $q_1(x) = 0$ then $q(x) = 0$ and according to 3.9, that cannot be the case. Hence indeed $\nu_{t'}(q_1) \geq \nu_{t'}(q_2)$ if and only if $min(\nu(a_{\vec{j}})) \geq min(\nu(b_{\vec{j}}))$ for all $\vec{j}$. Therefore $\nu_{t'}(\frac{q_1}{q_2}) \geq 0$ if and only if "$\nu(q_1(\vec{x})) \geq \nu(q_2(\vec{x}))$". ■

We now may deduce our main theorem.

Theorem 5.6 *Let g is a definable isomorphism from its domain to O_K^n. By $g(x_i)$ we mean that the i-th variable is x_i and the rest are 0. For every $p \in K[\vec{x}]$ we have that p is non-negative on $S_{\{g(x_i)_i\}}$ if and only if $p \in T(\sqrt[int]{< \{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{ord} >_{T(<\{g(x_i)_i, I_{ord}>)}})^{-1} SOS$. that is, p is of the form $\frac{r}{1+mh}$ where $r \in SOS$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h \in \sqrt[int]{\frac{<\{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{ord}>}{1+\mathcal{M}<\{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{ord}>}}$.*

Remark In [7] types of Cartesian multiplications of balls in the field, which are O_K-modules isomorphic to the multi-dimensional valuation ring, are also constructed, named "generic types", in the context of elimination of imaginaries problem.

Proof We define the type of $S_{\{g(x_i)_i\}}$ to be $s = t(\{g(x_i)_i\})$ where t is the type from 5.5, and theorem follows directly from 5.3, as we have that $\nu(p(\vec{x})) \geq \nu(q(\vec{x})) \in s$ if and only if $\nu(p(g^{-1}(\vec{x}))) \geq \nu(p(g^{-1}(\vec{x}))) \in t$.

We could add to the partial type that we defined in Theorem 5.5 (and took some completion of it), also positivity or non-negativity conditions. By that, we may obtain a characterization as in Theorem 5.6 also for sets of the form $S_{\vec{p}, \vec{f}}$.

Theorem 5.7 *For every $q \in K[\vec{x}]$ we have that q is non-negative on $S_{\vec{p}, \{g(x_i)_i\}}$ if and only if $q \in T(\sqrt[int]{< \{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{\vec{p}} >_{T(<\{g(x_i)_i, I_{\vec{p}}>)}})^{-1} SOS$. that is, q is of the form $\frac{r}{1+mh}$ where $r \in SOS$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h \in \sqrt[int]{\frac{<\{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{\vec{p}}>}{1+\mathcal{M}<\{g(x_i)_i\}, I_{\vec{p}}>}}$.*

References

[1] E. Artin, Über die Zerlegung definiter Funktionen in Quadrate, *Abh. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **5** (1927), 100–115.

[2] G. Cherlin and M. Dickmann, Real closed rings. II. Model Theory, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **25** (1983), no. 3, 213-231.

[3] M. Dickmann, On polynomials over real closed rings, *Model theory of Algebra and Arithmetic, Proceeding Karpacz 1979 (L. Pacholski, J. Wiezejewski and A. Wilkie, eds.)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 843 (1980), pp. 117-135

[4] I. Efrat, *Valuations, orderings, and Milnor K-theory,* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **124**, AMS, Providence, 2006.

[5] S. Kochen, Integer valued rational functions over the p-adic numbers: A p-adic analogue of the theory of real fields, 1969 *Number Theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XII, Houston, Tex., 1967)* 57–73 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

[6] D.Haskell and Y.Yaffe, Ganzstellensätze in theories of valued fields, *J. Math. Logic* **8**(2008), no. 1, 1-22.

[7] T. Mellor, Imaginaries in real closed valued fields, Phd thesis, University of Leeds, 2003.

[8] L. Miller-sims, Definite Forms in Valued Fields, Phd thesis, McMaster university, 2009.

[9] N.Lavi, A Ganzstellensatz for open semi-algebraic sets in real closed valued fields, *arXiv:1101.2116v1*

[10] N. Lavi, Ganzstellensatz for open sets over real closed valued fields, Master thesis, Ben Gurion university of the Negev, 2010.

[11] A.Robinson, On ordered field and definite functions. *Mathematische Annalen 130 (1956),257-271.*

[12] A.Tarski, A Decision Method for Elementary Algebra and Geometry. *University of California Press, Berkeley 1948.*

[13] Y. Yaffe, Characterizing finitary functions over non-archimedean RCFs via a topological definition of OVF-integrality, *arXiv:1103.1873v2*